

## **Administrative Bulletin to Board Members June 13, 2014**

### ***Superintendent Report***

#### **Gym Floor**

Miller Flooring, who refinished our floor six years ago, went over the floor on Friday. They will be providing recommendations here in the very near future. We have requested that we be placed on their calendar in August to perform the repairs as needed to the gym floor. They will be providing us a proposal which will be for cost of repairs and also a proposal to put in a new gym floor. The reason I requested the two proposals is to provide accurate information which will allow us to make a solid judgment concerning the gym floor. The 22 year old gym floor is in all likely hood a better floor than what we could get putting in a new floor. At present time when you purchase an inch board they are actually less than  $\frac{3}{4}$  of an inch. In inspecting the floor we actually pulled one of the sleeves for the volleyball post and were able to accurately assess that there is no damage to the sleepers that hold the floor to the concrete. The damage to the floor with regards to the wood is within the lane areas on the floor. The area outside of the lanes is merely damages to the finish on top of the wood. My guess will be that the repair proposal will be to replace the wood in the lanes and refinish the surface of the floor. The cost will become an issue for the insurance company to figure out.

#### **Key Pads, Door Entrances, and Security Entrance**

We will be looking at a change order labeled "Receptionist Door A102A" from the electrical contractor. This change order covers a number of items regarding accessing controls, card readers, and security entrance. It will also operate over our internet without having to separate lines. It will provide us with the ability to manage system badges, card readers, and access the software interface from a remote computer that will be located in John's office. The proposal cost is \$5,264.67.

#### **Wall Tile in Restrooms**

The initial change request for leaving the present tile floors in the cafeteria bathroom and upstairs bathroom in return for tiling the walls in the two bathrooms that were completed came back with a cost of \$820.37. At the construction meeting I indicated I wasn't the least bit interested in spending more money for bad workmanship. If they were going to insist on having a charge to us then Mr. Bain or I would personally be there to supervise the insulation of floors in the other two bathrooms. I asked the Lobar individuals if that kind of workmanship would be acceptable in their home or if they really wanted Lobar's name on the plaque to be associated with that type of work from one of their subcontractors. Their answer was a definite "no". Therefore we spent time reviewing tile that needed cut out and replaced in the bathrooms and they are looking at eliminating any cost at all if they finish tiling the walls and do not have them tear up perfectly good tile in the other bathrooms. To be honest with you Lobar's substitute has one crew who has done excellent work and another crew who has performed shoddy work. I asked Mr. Shughart to please do not bring that crew back on site. He has agreed to band their substitute from sending that work crew back to the job site.